

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/084,405 02/25/2002		Srinivasan Viswanathan	103.1061.01	8754		
22883 75	90 11/20/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC			TRUONG	TRUONG, BAO Q		
P.O. BOX 3900 MOUNTAIN V	113 TEW, CA 94039-0013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2187			
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/084		VISWANATHAN ET	٨١				
		Exami		Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	•		Truong	2187					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		_		ress				
Period fo									
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) opened for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wither the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the tory period will apply an II, by statute, cause the	o event, however, ma statutory minimum o nd will expire SIX (6) application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this com the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 February</u>	<u>2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is	action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,9-12,14,15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,8,13 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 20 Applicant may not request that any objecting Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	002 is/are: a)☐ a on to the drawing(a ne correction is req	s) be held in abe quired if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do a. Certified copies of the priority do a. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International cee the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for ince a specific reference was included a complete translation of the foreign language. The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for eference was included in the first senter	ocuments have be the priority documents have be the priority documents a list of the co- domestic priority in the first senter uage provisional domestic priority	peen received. peen received in the peen received in the peen received in the peen received in the peen received. pertified copies of the special punder 35 U.S application has younder 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National Signot received. C.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional acification or in an Application Description Description 121 since a provisional acification or in an Application Description Description Description 121 since a	application) ata Sheet.				
Attachmen			_						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) er No(s)		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 10/084,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

1. The instant application having Application No. 10/084,405 has a total of 17 claims pending in the application; there are 3 independent claims and 14 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in 37 C.F.R. § 1.63.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. As required by M.P.E.P § 609 (C), the applicant's submission of the Information Disclosure Statement, dated on 30 May 2002, is acknowledged by the examiner; and the cited reference has been considered in the examination of the claims now pending. As required by M.P.E.P § 609 C (2), a copy of the PTO-1449 initialed and dated by the examiner is attached to the instant office action.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because figure 3 fails to provide "path-selecting" value for the flow diagram at step 307.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2187

Page 3

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 13-14 of page 3, the applicant cites, "Although this method allows a disk to bee inactivated". That phrase should be changed to "Although this method allows a disk to be inactivated and reactivated". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 1 of claim 1, the applicant cites, "In a computer system having;". The semi colon should be either removed or changed to a colon.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2187

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 9-10, 14-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kedem (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,853).

Referring to claim 1, Kedem teaches, in a computer system having a file system that controls reads and writes to a set of disks (see figure 1: elements 12a-n; and figure 2: elements 22a-n, 24), a RAID subsystem providing redundancy among groups of said disks (see figure 2), and wherein said file system or said RAID subsystem is responsive to inactivity of one or more disks to reconstruct data from those inactive disks (see "Abstract"); a method, including:

identifying one or more disks to be made temporarily inactive as a storage device among the active set of storage devices has been identified as entering a failing state (see figure 4 and column 4: lines 63-66);

responding, by said file system, to said identification by marking said identified disks read-only as placing a logical volume, which is part of a RAID group, in a write-disable state (see figure 4: RAID group 41, volume D2; column 4: lines 28-47; and column 5: lines 21-35); and

indicating when said inactive disks are made active again as indicating when the fail device is replaced and RAID configuration is resumed (see column 7: lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2187

As to claim 2, Kedem further teaches that said identifying includes a systems operator or the system itself determining that one or more disks are to be made temporarily inactive as the storage system identifying a storage device among the active set of storage devices as entering a failing state (see figure 4 and column 4: lines 63-66).

As to claims 6-7, Kedem further teaches that said indicating includes a systems operator or the system itself determining that on or more inactivated disks should be reactivated and said indicating further includes identifying the disk or disks to the system that should be reactivated as the storage system sends to the controllers a signal indicating when the fail device is replaced and causing the controllers to resume reading and writing data in RAID configuration (see column 7: lines 8-11).

Referring to claim 9, Kedem discloses, in a computer system having a file system that controls reads and writes to a set of disks (see figure 1: elements 12a-n; and figure 2: elements 22a-n, 24), a RAID subsystem providing redundancy among groups of said disks (see figure 2), and wherein said file system or said RAID subsystem is responsive to inactivity of one or more disks to reconstruct data from those inactive disks (see "Abstract"); an apparatus including a memory and a processor (see figure 1-2), wherein said memory including:

an instruction for identifying one or more disks to be made temporarily inactive as an instruction executed by the storage system to identify a storage device among the active set of storage devices as entering a failing state (see figure 4 and column 4: lines 63-66);

Art Unit: 2187

an instruction for responding, by said file system, to said identification by marking said identified disks read-only as an instruction executed by the storage system to place a logical volume, which is part of a RAID group, in a write-disable state (see figure 4: RAID group 41, volume D2; column 4: lines 28-47; and column 5: lines 21-35); and

an instruction for indicating when said inactive disks are made active again as an instruction executed by the storage system to indicate when the fail device is replaced and RAID configuration is resumed (see column 7: lines 8-11).

As to claim 10, Kedem further discloses that said instruction for identifying includes an instruction initiated by a systems operator or the system itself determining that one or more disks are to be made temporarily inactive as an instruction executed by the storage system to identify a storage device among the active set of storage devices as entering a failing state (see figure 4 and column 4: lines 63-66).

As to claims 14-15, Kedem further teaches that said instruction for indicating includes an instruction initiated by a systems operator or the system itself for determining that on or more inactivated disks should be reactivated; and said instruction for indicating further includes an instruction for identifying the disk or disks to the system that should be reactivated as an instruction, initiated by the storage system, sending a signal to the controllers indicating when the fail device is replaced and causing the controllers to resume reading and writing data in RAID configuration (see column 7: lines 8-11)...

Art Unit: 2187

Referring to claim 17, Kedem discloses a computer system having a file system that controls reads and writes to a set of disks (see figure 1: elements 12a-n; and figure 2: elements 22a-n, 24), a RAID subsystem providing redundancy among groups of said disks (see figure 2). A host controller receives and interprets read/write request from a host computer; and then sends appropriate request to one of the disk controllers to obtain data (see column 3: lines 16-54). Inherently, the host controller uses a set of binary addresses, wherein one of the binary addresses is linked to a set of disks in the RAID subsystem, when directing read/write request. This set of binary addresses, inherently, can be encoded in a data structure format and stored in a processor readable medium.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hodges (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,694).

Referring to claim 17, Hodges discloses a computer system having a file system that controls reads and writes to a set of disks (see "Abstract" and column 2: lines 19-27). Hodges discloses a processor readable medium encoded with data in a data structure including a set of binary addresses wherein each one of said binary addresses is linked to a set of disks in the RAID subsystem (see figure 5: element 72; column 2: lines 25-35; and column 6: lines 11-15).

Art Unit: 2187

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 3-4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kedem (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,853).

As to claim 3-4, Kedem teaches a step of marking the temporarily inactive disk as readonly.

However, Kedem does not clearly teach that said marking includes recording in one of a set of off-line markers that said disk is read-only and each of said off-line markers is associated with a disk in the RAID subsystem.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include, in the method taught by Kedem, that said marking includes recording in one of a set of off-line markers that said disk is read-only and each of said off-line markers is associated with a disk in the RAID subsystem. This would have been obvious because using a status bit/marker is a typical and easy way to record the status/state, for example read-only state, for each individual device in a computer system.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2187

As to claim 11-12, Kedem discloses an instruction of marking the temporarily inactive disk as read-only.

However, Kedem does not clearly disclose that said marking includes an instruction for recording in one of a set of off-line markers that said disk is read-only and each of said off-line markers is associated with a disk in the RAID subsystem.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include, in the apparatus disclosed by Kedem, that said marking includes an instruction for recording in one of a set of off-line markers that said disk is read-only and each of said off-line markers is associated with a disk in the RAID subsystem. This would have been obvious because using a status bit/marker is a typical and easy way to record the status/state, for example read-only state, for each individual device in a computer system.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 5, 8, 13, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 10

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q Truong whose telephone number is (703) 308-7090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A Sparks, can be reached on (703) 308-1756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BAO QUEL THUONG

BT

Patent Examiner

November 13, 2003

Donald Sparks

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100